

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,323	10/28/2003	Louis P. Steinhauser	5269-000004/CPB 4859 EXAMINER	
28997 7	7590 11/09/2004			
HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C 7700 BONHOMME, STE 400			BASINGER, SHERMAN D	
ST. LOUIS, M	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/695,323	3	STEINHAUSER, LOUIS P.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Sherman D		3617		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE MA - Extensic after SD - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ons of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) priod for reply is specified above, the maximum status or reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set of extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of extended period for reply within the	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever nication. days, a reply within the statur drory period will apply and will fill, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	l on	٠			
2a)□ T	his action is FINAL. 2t	o) This action is no	n-final.			
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the app a) Of the above claim(s) is/are laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restricti	e withdrawn from con				
Application	n Papers			•		
9)⊠ Tr	ne specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 2003</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)□ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	locuments have beer locuments have beer f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary			
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P lo(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate latent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/695,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The declaration filed under 37 C.F.R. 1.63 in response to the notice of a missing or unsigned declaration has been received.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: see below.
 Appropriate correction is required.

In paragraph [0001] the patent number for 09/899722 should be inserted.

In paragraph [0022] "Figure 5" should be -Figure 6-.

In paragraph [0023] "Figure 6" should be -Figure 7-.

In paragraph [0024] "Figure 7" should be –Figure 8-.

A brief description of figure 5 which is accurate is required.

In paragraph [0037] "alarm 28" should be -alarm 38-.

In paragraph [0048] "Figure 6" should be –Figure 7-.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis.

Davis discloses an outboard motor position responsive system comprising an ignition system including the ignition switch sensor, an outboard motor position sensor 220 in communication with the ignition system, a microprocessor 100 in communication with the outboard motor position sensor, an alarm 380 in communication with the microprocessor and an ignition disabling switch shown at the bottom of figure 1b.

Davis does not disclose:

wherein when an

operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the alarm is activated by the microprocessor to warn the operator;

wherein when an

operator attempts to start the

ignition system when the

outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the ignition disabling switch is activated by the microprocessor to prevent the operator from starting the ignition system; and

wherein when

an operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the tilt circuit is

Application/Control Number: 10/695,323

Art Unit: 3617

activated by the microprocessor to automatically lower the outboard motor.

However because the microprocessor of Davis can easily be programmed to all of the above, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to do so. Motivation to do so is to protect the outboard motor engine from damage and to protect the boat operator or a passenger from the outboard motor propeller.

Davis also does not disclose:

wherein when an operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the alarm is activated by the outboard motor position sensor to warn the operator; wherein when an operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the ignition disabling switch is activated by the outboard motor position sensor to prevent the operator from starting the ignition system; and wherein when an operator attempts to start the ignition system when the

outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the tilt circuit is activated by the outboard motor position sensor to automatically lower the outboard motor.

Art Unit: 3617

However, because the microprocessor of Davis can be programmed to have the outboard motor position sensor do all of the above, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have it do so. Motivation, again, is to protect the outboard motor engine, the outboard motor propeller, the operator and any passenger.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim 7 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,682,371.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 3 of the patent includes every limitation of claim 7 and additionally adds the limitation of "wherein the communications are via infrared signals".

Art Unit: 3617

In making the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 3 of the patent, one would make the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 7. Thus it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to make the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 7 when making the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 3 of the patent. Motivation to do so is to make an outboard motor position responsive system which protects the engine of the outboard motor.

It is noted that claim 7 uses the word "start" as opposed to "engage" when referencing the ignition system. This is only a difference in verbiage and does not make claim 7 patentable over claim 3 of the patent.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,682,371. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 8 of the patent includes every limitation of claim 8 and additionally adds the limitation of "wherein the communications are via infrared signals". In making the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 8 of the patent, one would make the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 8. Thus it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to make the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 8 when making the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 8 of the patent. Motivation to do so is to make an outboard motor position responsive system which protects the engine of the outboard motor.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,323

Art Unit: 3617

It is noted that claim 8 uses the words "start" and "starting" as opposed to "engage" and "engaging" when referencing the ignition system. This is only a difference in verbiage and does not make claim 8 patentable over claim 8 of the patent.

8. Claim 9 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,682,371. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 12 of the patent includes every limitation of claim 9 and additionally adds the limitation of "wherein the communications are via infrared signals". In making the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 12 of the patent, one would make the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 9. Thus it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to make the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 9 when making the outboard motor position responsive system of claim 12 of the patent. Motivation to do so is to make an outboard motor position responsive system which protects the engine of the outboard motor. It is noted that claim 9 uses the word "start" as opposed to "engage" when referencing the ignition system. This is only a difference in verbiage and does not make claim 9 patentable over claim 12 of the patent.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schmiedel is cited to show the system for indicating to the boat

Page 7

Art Unit: 3617

driver the trim angle of the stern drive. Koike is cited to show the control unit 32 and the warning lights and buzzer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherman D. Basinger whose telephone number is 703-308-1139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:00-2:30 ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sherman D. Basinger 18/3/04

Art Unit 3617

sdb 11/3/04